

Structure and spectra of methylmagnesium Grignard complexes

Aleksandr V. Nemukhin,^{*,a} Viktor N. Solov'ev,^a Gleb B. Sergeev^a and Igor A. Topol^b

^a Department of Chemistry, M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119899 Moscow, Russian Federation.

Fax: +7 095 939 0283

^b Structural Biochemistry Program, Frederick Biomedical Supercomputing Centre, SAIC, National Cancer Institute–Frederick Cancer Research and Development Centre, Frederick, MD 21702, USA.

The results of *ab initio* quantum chemistry calculations at the HF/6-31G* and MP2/6-31G* levels for the structure and vibrational spectra of the CH₃MgX and CH₃MgMgX (X = F, Cl, Br) Grignard reagents are presented.

Low temperature matrix reactions of the alkyl halides CH₃X (X = Cl, Br) with magnesium atoms and clusters have been suggested to result in intriguing bi-magnesium species of the type CH₃MgMgX along with the conventional Grignard reagents CH₃MgX.^{1–5} The subject gained increased attention due to indirect indications of a higher reactivity of magnesium clusters compared to single metal atoms.^{1–3} However, there is no direct experimental evidence of formation of bi-magnesium Grignard reagents and no detailed theoretical investigations proving that metal clusters Mg_n (n > 1) are reactive species under matrix isolation conditions.

The motivation for the present theoretical study is twofold. First, by performing quantum chemistry simulations of IR spectra for a variety of possible products of the reactions of CH₃X with magnesium atoms or clusters we provide a guideline for spectral experimental identification of Grignard reagents. Cryogenic syntheses of such substances are almost complete and studies of the reactions of CH₃X (X = Cl, Br) + Mg_n (n = 1, 2, ...) will be carried out, in particular with vibrational spectroscopy techniques.

Second, when comparing quantum chemistry results for the series of Grignard complexes CH₃MgX and CH₃MgMgX (X = F, Cl, Br) obtained in this and in previous⁵ studies a remarkable picture of bonding in these substances arises which requires special discussion.

All calculations have been carried out at the *ab initio* Hartree–Fock (HF) and second-order Møller–Plesset perturbation theory (MP2) levels with the GAMESS program suite.⁶

The unrestricted version (UHF) has been employed for open shell species. The conventional 6-31G* basis sets have been used for all atoms except Br for which the Billing–Curtiss DZV(d) set has been applied as prescribed by the GAMESS strategy.⁶

The HF/6-31G* optimized equilibrium geometries for the Grignard reagents H₃C–Mg–X and H₃C–Mg–Mg'–X (all of them possess the C_{3v} symmetry) are shown in Figure 1. These results are consistent with all previous *ab initio* calculations.^{4,5,7–9} In particular, the HF results of Davis⁹ for the H₃C–Mg–F and H₃C–Mg–Cl species differ from our values by less than 0.02 Å. If electron correlation effects are taken into account the calculated geometry parameters differ (insignificantly for our purposes) from those given in Figure 1. For instance, for the fluorine species⁵ an inclusion of correlation contributions leads to an elongation of the Mg–F distances by 0.04 Å and a shortening of the Mg–Mg distance by 0.03 Å. The same conclusion may be drawn from the results of Davis⁹ for H₃C–Mg–F and H₃C–Mg–Cl species when comparing the HF and MP2 geometries: the differences fall

H ₃ C–Mg–X	H ₃ C–Mg–Mg'–X
2.096 1.734 (F)	2.111 2.889 1.741 (F)
2.090 2.218 (Cl)	2.108 2.885 2.237 (Cl)
2.089 2.341 (Br)	2.110 2.874 2.365 (Br)

Figure 1 Equilibrium geometry configurations (the HF/6-31G* results). Shown are the C–Mg, Mg–Mg' and Mg–X distances (in Å).

Table 1 Binding energies in kcal mol⁻¹ for the Grignard species computed at the MP2/6-31G*//HF/6-31G* level.

Channel	F	Cl	Br
CH ₃ –Mg–X → CH ₃ X + Mg	56.2	52.4	57.9
CH ₃ –Mg–Mg–X → CH ₃ –Mg–X + Mg	6.7	6.2	8.2
CH ₃ –Mg–Mg–X → CH ₃ X + Mg ₂	62.8	58.5	66.0
CH ₃ –Mg–Mg–X → CH ₃ Mg + MgX	42.2	42.1	45.3

within 0.03 Å.

Binding energies of the Grignard reagents with respect to various dissociation channels (RMgX → RX + Mg; RMgMgX → RMgX + Mg; RMgMgX → RX + Mg₂; RMgMgX → RMg + MgX), computed using the MP2 theory (for HF/6-31G* optimized geometries), are collected in Table 1. The results obtained previously⁵ for the fluorine species are included for completeness. Clearly, all these compounds are stable systems towards possible decompositions. The formation of bi-magnesium Grignard reagents is energetically justified since energy gains are noticeable (6–8 kcal mol⁻¹) when passing from RMgX to RMgMgX species. It should be noted that the binding energies behave non-monotonically in the series F, Cl, Br.

Tables 2 and 3 give the computed harmonic frequencies and relative IR intensities for MgX, RX, RMgX, RMgMgX (R = CH₃; X = Cl, Br) species calculated at the HF/6-31G* level. The results for the fluorine species may be found in ref. 5. The frequencies are scaled with a conventional scaling factor 0.9. The accuracy of such a procedure may be estimated by comparing theoretical values with the known experimental results.^{10–12}

Table 2 Harmonic frequencies (cm⁻¹, scaling factor 0.9) and relative IR intensities (in parentheses) calculated at the HF/6-31G* level for the RMgMg'Cl, RMgCl, RCl and MgCl species (R = CH₃). Experimental frequencies are shown after the solidus.

RMgMg'Cl	RMgCl	RCl	MgCl
57 (0.5)			
116 (0)	112 (1.0)		
169 (0.1)			
431 (3.0)	338 (0.4)		412 (2.1)/465 ¹³
513 (0.5)	575 (2.2)		
533 (0.7)	580 (2.4)	700 (1.1)/733 ¹²	
		1020 (0.1)/1017 ¹²	
1173 (0.1)	1176 (0)	1372 (0.6)/1355 ¹²	
1424 (0)	1424 (0)	1451 (0.1)/1452 ¹²	
2804 (1.8)	2823 (0.8)	2899 (0.8)/2968 ¹²	
2866 (1.0)	2891 (0.6)	2996 (0.2)	

It is thus possible to distinguish bi-magnesium Grignard reagents from the corresponding mono-magnesium species by their IR spectra. The main difficulty may be masking of the bands originating from the reaction products of primary interest (CH₃MgX and CH₃MgMgX) by the bands from MgX, MgX₂, CH₃X, C₂H₆, etc. If, however, this problem is solved the frequency differences between CH₃MgX and CH₃MgMgX are noticeable enough to be detected spectroscopically.

The electronic structure of mono- and bi-magnesium

Table 3 Harmonic frequencies (cm⁻¹, scaling factor 0.9) and relative IR intensities (in parentheses) calculated at the HF/6-31G* level for the RMgMg'Br, RMgBr, RBr and MgBr species (R = CH₃). Experimental frequencies are shown after the solidus.

RMgMg'Br	RMgBr	RBr	MgBr
65 (0.3)			
139 (0)	123 (0.8)		
148 (0.1)			
383 (2.1)	280 (0.4)		345 (1.6)/374 ¹³
512 (0.7)	562 (2.2)		
533 (0.7)	578 (2.2)	582 (0.6)/610 ¹²	
		955 (0.1)/955 ¹²	
1173 (0.1)	1177 (0)	1327 (1.0)/1305 ¹²	
1426 (0)	1428 (0)	1447 (0.1)/1443 ¹²	
2803 (1.8)	2822 (0.9)	3010 (0.7)/3057 ¹²	
2866 (1.0)	2890 (0.7)	3012 (0.1)	

Grignard reagents is also interesting. First of all we note that, according to the natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis,¹³ for all mono-magnesium species the Lewis structures with a carbon–magnesium bond are predicted, *i.e.* R–Mg:⋈, and for all bi-magnesium compounds, the Lewis structures with metal–metal bond are preferable, R:Mg–Mg':⋈. This is consistent with both the partial charges on atoms (see Table 4) and the effective electronic configurations of constituent atoms. We show explicitly the results of population analysis for the bromine species since for other halogens the results are similar:

CH₃MgBr:
C(2s^{1.28}2p^{4.09}) H(1s^{0.77}) Mg(3s^{0.42}) Br(4s^{1.97}4p^{5.82})

CH₃MgMg'Br:
C(2s^{1.29}2p^{4.13}) H(1s^{0.78}) Mg(3s^{0.99}) Mg'(3s^{1.09}) Br(4s^{1.97}4p^{5.86})

The only noticeable changes when passing from mono- to bi-magnesium molecules involves occupation of the 3s orbitals on Mg. In bi-metallic species the electronic configuration of both magnesium atoms is [core] 3s¹ and the formation of metal–metal bond Mg–Mg' is explicable.

According to the population analysis (natural charges on atoms are given in Table 4), insertion of either one or two magnesium atoms into the C–X bonds results in highly ionic substances. For the monomagnesium Grignard reagents the oxidation state of Mg is intermediate between +1 and +2 (charges are within 1.5–1.6 units). Insertion of two metal atoms causes almost the same distortions in charge distributions in the local arrangements as in the case of one atom, and the total charge of both magnesium atoms, Mg and Mg', in CH₃MgMg'X is close to the charge on Mg in CH₃MgX, while the oxidation state of Mg and Mg' is +1.

We thank Professor M. Räsänen for valuable discussions and help. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 94-03-09987). The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services of the US, nor does the mention of trade names, commercial products, or organisations imply endorsement by the US Government.

Table 4 Natural charges on atoms (HF/6-31G* calculations) for the RX, RMgX and RMgMg'X species (R = CH₃).

Atom	RMgMg'F	RMgF	RF	RMgMg'Cl	RMgCl	RCl	RMgMg'Br	RMgBr	RBr
C	–1.468	–1.427	–0.096	–1.465	–1.408	–0.610	–1.464	–1.407	–0.688
H	0.218	0.226	0.175	0.220	0.229	0.237	0.219	0.229	0.244
Mg	0.876	1.640		0.918	1.566		0.920	1.527	
Mg'	0.855			0.779			0.739		
X	–0.917	–0.892	–0.429	–0.891	–0.846	–0.101	–0.852	–0.806	–0.043

References

- 1 Y. Imuzu and K. J. Klabunde, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, **23**, 3602.
- 2 K. J. Klabunde and A. Whetten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 6529.
- 3 K. J. Klabunde, *Free Atoms, Clusters, and Nanoscale Particles*, Academic Press, N.Y., 1994.
- 4 P. J. Jasien and C. E. Dykstra, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 2089; 1985, **107**, 1891.
- 5 A. V. Nemukhin, I. A. Topol and F. Weinhold, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 2980.
- 6 M. W. Schmidt, K. K. Baldridge, J. A. Boatz, J. H. Jensen, S. Koseki, M. S. Gordon, K. A. Nguyen, T. L. Windus and S. T. Elbert, *Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange Bulletin*, 1990, 52.
- 7 C. P. Baskin, C. E. Bender, R. R. Lucchese, C. W. Bauschlicher and H. F. Schaefer, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 1976, **32**, 125.
- 8 S. Sakai and K. D. Jordan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **104**, 4019.
- 9 S. R. Davis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 4145.
- 10 B. S. Ault, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 3480.
- 11 A. J. Barnes, H. E. Hallam and J. A. Howell, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2*, 1973, **60**, 738.
- 12 *Molekulyarnye postoyannye neorganicheskikh soedinenii (Molecular Constants of Inorganic Compounds)*, ed. K. S. Krasnov, Khimiya, Leningrad, 1979, p. 448 (in Russian).
- 13 A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss and F. Weinhold, *Chem. Rev.*, 1988, **88**, 899.

Received: Moscow, 18th July 1995

Cambridge, 21st September 1995; Com. 5/04909A